



Lancaster 🎇 University

into the future is  $N_t$ . So, the total number of test points of posterior prediction is  $N_tN_s$ . The observation number  $N_{\rm obs}$ , which we, for simplicity, assume to be made at distinct locations over  $N_{\rm obs,t}$  time slices. We remark also that the size of both  $N_t$  and  $N_s$  would often be much larger than  $N_{\rm obs}$ , while  $N_t$  and  $N_{\rm obs,t}$  are of the same magnitude.

Total

Sampling

Method

Vanilla

Regression

Denote the number of spatial grid points as  $N_s$  for each time slice, and the number of time slices we wish to sample

SPDE	$O((N_s + N_{\rm obs})^3 N_{\rm obs,t})$	$O(N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$	$O((N_s + N_{\text{obs}})^3 N_{\text{obs,t}} + N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$		
VASE	$O(N_{\rm obs}^3 + N_s^2 N_{\rm obs} + N_s N_{\rm obs}^2)$	$O(N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$	$O(N_{\text{obs}}^3 + N_s^2 N_{\text{obs}} + N_s N_{\text{obs}}^2 + N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$		
Table 1: Computational cost summary table of different methods. The green indicates the lowest cost in each					

Table 1: Computational cost summary table of different methods. The green indicates the lowest cost in each column, while the red indicates the highest.

## Spatio-Temporal Inference with Disjoint Spatial Locations

Zhang, R.-Y., Moss, H. B., Astfalck, L., Cripps, E. and Leslie, D. S. (2025). BALLAST: Bayesian Active Learning with Lookahead Amendment for Sea-drifter Trajectories under Spatio-Temporal Vector Fields, arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.26005.

## 'commission fee' of the exchange





## Spatio-Temporal Inference with Disjoint Spatial Locations



Denote the number of spatial grid points as  $N_s$  for each time slice, and the number of time slices we wish to sample into the future is  $N_t$ . So, the total number of test points of posterior prediction is  $N_tN_s$ . The observation number  $N_{\rm obs}$ , which we, for simplicity, assume to be made at distinct locations over  $N_{\rm obs,t}$  time slices. We remark also that the size of both  $N_t$  and  $N_s$  would often be much larger than  $N_{\rm obs}$ , while  $N_t$  and  $N_{\rm obs,t}$  are of the same magnitude.

Method	Regression	Sampling	Total
Vanilla	$O(N_{ m obs}^3)$	$O(N_s^3 N_t^3)$	$O(N_{ m obs}^3 + N_s^3 N_t^3)$
SPDE	$O((N_s + N_{\rm obs})^3 N_{\rm obs,t})$	$O(N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$	$O((N_s + N_{\text{obs}})^3 N_{\text{obs,t}} + N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$
VASE	$O(N_{\text{obs}}^3 + N_s^2 N_{\text{obs}} + N_s N_{\text{obs}}^2)$	$O(N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$	$O(N_{\text{obs}}^3 + N_s^2 N_{\text{obs}} + N_s N_{\text{obs}}^2 + N_s^3 + N_s^2 N_t)$

'commission fee' of the exchange

Table 1: Computational cost summary table of different methods. The green indicates the lowest cost in each column, while the red indicates the highest.

## Vanilla-SPDE Exchange (VASE)

